

Social Support, Relationships, and Health: A Psychoneuroimmunological Review

Gunjan Sharma

PhD Psychology, Haridwar, Uttarkhand
E- Mail ID- Sharma.gunjann@gmail.com

Accepted 16 May 2025

Author retains the copyrights of this article

Abstract

Social support and interpersonal relationships represent foundational determinants of human health, operating through complex psychoneuroimmunological (PNI) mechanisms that bridge psychological experience with biological function. This review examines the extant literature on the interrelationships among social support, relational quality, and health outcomes from a psychoneuroimmunological perspective, synthesizing evidence from over 150 empirical and review studies published between 1977 and 2024. We interrogate the pathways through which perceived and received social support modulate neuroendocrine, autonomic, and immune functioning, with particular attention to hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis dysregulation, sympathetic nervous system activation, inflammatory cytokine profiles, and natural killer cell activity. Meta-analytic findings consistently demonstrate that social isolation and low perceived support are associated with elevated cortisol, heightened interleukin-6 (IL-6) and C-reactive protein (CRP), and suppressed lymphocyte proliferation. Conversely, high-quality close relationships buffer allostatic load, attenuate inflammatory responses, and confer significant protective effects against cardiovascular disease, cancer progression, infectious illness, and all-cause mortality. Theoretical frameworks including the stress-buffering hypothesis, the direct effects model, and the social regulation of biology model are critically evaluated for their explanatory utility. The review highlights critical methodological considerations, identifies gaps in the literature pertaining to bidirectionality and mechanism specificity, and proposes directions for future longitudinal and intervention research. The synthesis underscores social connectedness as a fundamental biological need, with implications for clinical practice, public health policy, and the design of behavioral interventions across the lifespan.

Keywords: social support, psychoneuroimmunology, relationships and health, HPA axis, immune function, social isolation, stress-buffering hypothesis, inflammatory cytokines, cortisol, allostatic load, interpersonal relationships, natural killer cell activity, neuroendocrine regulation

1. Introduction

The relationship between social environment and human health has occupied scientific inquiry for well over a century, yet the biological mechanisms through which social experience translates into physiological outcomes have only begun to be systematically characterized in recent decades. The emergence of psychoneuroimmunology (PNI) as a formal discipline in the early 1980s catalyzed by the seminal work of Ader and Cohen (1975) demonstrating conditioned immunosuppression, and further elaborated by Kiecolt-Glaser and colleagues in their landmark studies of marital quality and immune function (Kiecolt-Glaser et al., 1987, 1993) inaugurated a new era of inquiry into the bidirectional pathways linking brain, behavior, and immune function. Within this framework, social support and interpersonal relationships have emerged as among the most robust and replicable predictors of immune competence, neuroendocrine balance, and morbidity outcomes across diverse populations and disease contexts.

Epidemiological evidence has long documented that socially isolated individuals exhibit markedly elevated mortality risk relative to their better-connected counterparts. Holt-Lunstad, Smith, and Layton's (2010) influential meta-analysis of 148 prospective studies encompassing over 308,000 participants demonstrated that adequate social relationships were associated with a 50% increased likelihood of survival, an effect magnitude comparable to smoking cessation and exceeding that of obesity, physical inactivity, and air pollution. Subsequent meta-analyses have extended these findings to specific health endpoints including cardiovascular disease (Hakulinen et al., 2018), depression and anxiety (Santini et al., 2020), cancer prognosis (Lutgendorf et al., 2012), and infectious disease susceptibility (Cohen et al., 1997; Pressman et al., 2005). The consistency of these associations across diverse methodologies, populations, and outcome domains suggests that the health effects of social relationships are not artifactual but reflect

genuine, biologically plausible pathways of influence.

Theoretical models have proliferated to account for these associations. The stress-buffering hypothesis (Cohen & Wills, 1985) proposes that social support mitigates the deleterious health consequences of stressful life events by modulating appraisal processes and providing material and emotional resources for coping. The direct-effects model, by contrast, posits that social integration confers health benefits independent of stress exposure through mechanisms including the promotion of health-relevant behaviors, identity maintenance, and the creation of positive affective states (Berkman & Syme, 1979; Cohen, 1988). More recently, the social regulation of biology model (Eisenberger & Cole, 2012; Uchino et al., 2018) has elaborated on these frameworks by specifying the downstream biological pathways particularly neuroendocrine, autonomic, and immune through which social experience exerts its health effects. This review integrates and critically evaluates these theoretical perspectives in the context of contemporary PNI evidence, with the goal of advancing mechanistic understanding and identifying priority areas for future research.

1.1 Social Support: Definitions, Dimensions, and Measurement Approaches

Despite the voluminous literature on social support and health, conceptual and measurement heterogeneity remains a significant challenge. Social support has variously been operationalized as a structural characteristic of social networks (e.g., number of close relationships, frequency of social contact), a functional resource (e.g., the availability of emotional reassurance, instrumental assistance, or informational guidance), or a subjective appraisal (e.g., the degree to which one perceives oneself to be loved, valued, and embedded in a network of mutual obligation; Uchino, 2004). These distinctions have important empirical and theoretical implications, as structural, functional, and perceived dimensions of support are not always highly correlated and may predict health outcomes through distinct mechanisms (Holt-Lunstad & Smith, 2012). A further distinction of growing importance in the PNI literature is that between perceived and received support. Perceived support the subjective sense that support would be available if needed has consistently demonstrated stronger associations with health outcomes than received support the actual enactment of supportive behaviors (Uchino, 2009). This counterintuitive finding has led to theoretical accounts proposing that perceived support operates primarily through cognitive-affective pathways, including threat appraisal modification and positive affect induction, whereas received support may in some contexts be threatening to self-esteem or may signal the severity of stressors, thereby attenuating or reversing its

health benefits (Bolger & Amarel, 2007; Gleason et al., 2008). The role of invisible support that is provided without the recipient's awareness has emerged as an important mediating construct in this regard (Bolger et al., 2000). These conceptual distinctions are attended to throughout the present review as we evaluate the specificity of psychoneuroimmunological pathways.

2. Literature Survey

The study of social support and health draws upon a rich and diverse empirical literature spanning several decades. Early epidemiological investigations established the foundational associations between social integration and mortality, while subsequent laboratory and clinical studies began to identify the biological mechanisms underlying these epidemiological patterns. The present section traces this intellectual trajectory, organizing the literature thematically around major domains of PNI investigation.

2.1 Epidemiological Foundations and Mortality Evidence

The systematic documentation of social relationships as mortality predictors dates to Berkman and Syme's (1979) landmark Alameda County Study, which followed nearly 7,000 adults over nine years and demonstrated that individuals with fewer social ties were 1.9 to 3.1 times more likely to die during the follow-up period, controlling for baseline health status, socioeconomic position, and health behaviors. This finding catalyzed a generation of prospective cohort studies replicating the association across diverse national and cultural contexts (House et al., 1982; Schoenbach et al., 1986; Seeman et al., 1987; Vogt et al., 1992). The subsequent two decades saw the accumulation of sufficient evidence to support rigorous meta-analytic synthesis.

Holt-Lunstad et al.'s (2010) meta-analysis of 148 prospective studies the most comprehensive to date yielded an overall odds ratio of 1.50 for survival among individuals with adequate social relationships, with remarkable consistency across diverse populations, outcome measures, and follow-up periods. Importantly, this effect remained robust after accounting for socioeconomic status, baseline health, and health behaviors, suggesting that social relationships exert effects above and beyond those attributable to confounding. A subsequent meta-analysis by Holt-Lunstad et al. (2015) of 70 prospective studies found that social isolation (OR = 1.29), loneliness (OR = 1.26), and living alone (OR = 1.32) were each independently associated with elevated mortality risk. These findings established social isolation as a major public health concern comparable in magnitude to established risk factors such as cigarette smoking, hypertension, and physical inactivity (Cacioppo & Hawkley, 2003).

Cause-specific mortality analyses have revealed that the protective effects of social relationships extend across a broad range of disease endpoints. House et al. (1982) reported significant associations between social isolation and cardiovascular disease mortality, a finding subsequently replicated in numerous cohorts including the Multiple Risk Factor Intervention Trial (Orth-Gomer et al., 1993), the Nurses' Health Study (Berkman et al., 1992), and the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (Steptoe et al., 2013). For cancer, meta-analytic evidence indicates that social isolation is associated with elevated incidence and poorer survival across multiple tumor types, with effect sizes particularly pronounced for colorectal, breast, and lung cancers (Lutgendorf et al., 2012; Bergelt et al., 2006). The mechanisms underlying these diverse disease associations point toward common upstream biological pathways particularly HPA axis dysregulation and inflammatory activation that accelerate pathophysiological processes across organ systems.

2.2 Neuroendocrine Pathways: The HPA Axis and Cortisol

A primary biological pathway through which social support influences health is the HPA axis, which regulates the secretion of glucocorticoids principally cortisol in humans in response to psychological and physiological stressors. Glucocorticoids exert pleiotropic effects on immune function, including the suppression of pro-inflammatory cytokine production, inhibition of lymphocyte proliferation, and redistribution of immune cells from peripheral blood to lymphoid tissues (Padgett & Glaser, 2003; Dhabhar, 2014). Chronic HPA activation, as occurs under conditions of sustained psychological stress or social adversity, results in glucocorticoid resistance a state in which immune cells become progressively less sensitive to glucocorticoid signaling paradoxically promoting rather than suppressing inflammatory processes (Miller et al., 2002; Cohen et al., 2012).

Experimental evidence for social modulation of HPA activity derives from several paradigmatic approaches. Social support induction studies have demonstrated that the presence of supportive others attenuates cortisol reactivity to laboratory stressors including the Trier Social Stress Test (TSST), cold pressor tasks, and public speaking challenges. Heinrichs et al. (2003) demonstrated that social support from a close friend, combined with intranasal oxytocin administration, produced the greatest attenuation of TSST-induced cortisol and subjective stress responses, implicating oxytocinergic mechanisms in social buffering. Ditzen et al. (2008) subsequently showed that physical contact with a romantic partner further potentiated these buffering effects, underscoring the role of affiliative physical touch in HPA regulation.

Conversely, studies of marital quality and relationship conflict have illuminated how interpersonal stress amplifies HPA activity. Kiecolt-Glaser et al. (1993) demonstrated that couples who exhibited more hostile and controlling behavior during standardized conflict discussions showed significantly greater cortisol responses and slower cortisol recovery than couples who maintained more positive interactional styles. These effects were particularly pronounced in women, who showed more prolonged cortisol elevation following negative marital interactions a sex difference consistent with the tend-and-befriend model of female stress response proposed by Taylor et al. (2000). Longitudinal follow-up of these marital quality-cortisol associations has revealed that chronically discordant marriages are associated with blunted diurnal cortisol slopes an indicator of dysregulated HPA circadian rhythmicity that is itself predictive of cancer and cardiovascular disease mortality (Sephton et al., 2000; Kumari et al., 2011).

2.3 Autonomic Nervous System and Cardiovascular Pathways

The autonomic nervous system (ANS) represents a second major pathway through which social relationships affect health, particularly cardiovascular health. Elevated sympathetic nervous system activity and reduced parasympathetic (vagal) tone both characteristic responses to social threat and isolation produce adverse cardiovascular effects including hypertension, endothelial dysfunction, elevated inflammatory markers, and increased susceptibility to arrhythmia (Thayer & Lane, 2007; Cacioppo et al., 2002). Heart rate variability (HRV), a widely used index of parasympathetic cardiac control, has emerged as a particularly informative biomarker in this context, because reduced HRV is not only a consequence of social adversity but also a predictor of subsequent morbidity and mortality.

Uchino et al. (1996) conducted an early meta-analysis of 81 studies examining social support and cardiovascular, endocrine, and immune function, finding robust associations between higher social support and lower blood pressure, particularly in older adults. Subsequent longitudinal work has replicated these findings in representative population samples, with Steptoe et al. (2013) reporting that greater social isolation was associated with elevated blood pressure, higher fibrinogen and C-reactive protein concentrations, and poorer HRV in the English Longitudinal Study of Ageing. Importantly, these biological associations were independent of health behaviors, socioeconomic position, and psychological distress, suggesting that social isolation exerts direct physiological effects not mediated exclusively through behavioral or emotional pathways.

3. Methodology

This review employed a systematic approach to literature identification, selection, and synthesis, incorporating elements of meta-analytic methodology to provide quantitative contextualization of narrative findings. The primary databases searched included PubMed/MEDLINE, PsycINFO, Web of Science, Embase, and Cochrane Library, using MeSH terms and keywords encompassing: social support, social isolation, loneliness, interpersonal relationships, marital quality, psychoneuroimmunology, immune function, neuroendocrine function, cortisol, inflammatory cytokines, interleukin-6, C-reactive protein, natural killer cell activity, stress buffering, and related terms. Boolean combinations of these terms were used to maximize sensitivity while maintaining specificity. The search was restricted to peer-reviewed publications in English published between January 1977 and December 2024. Additional studies were identified through backward citation tracking of included reviews and meta-analyses, forward citation searching of seminal papers using Google Scholar, and consultation of the reference lists of doctoral theses identified in ProQuest Dissertations. Grey literature including government reports and conference proceedings was reviewed but excluded from primary synthesis due to peer review considerations. The initial search yielded 4,217 unique citations, which were screened by title and abstract against predetermined inclusion criteria: (a) peer-reviewed empirical study or systematic review/meta-analysis; (b) inclusion of at least one measure of social support, relationship quality, social integration, or social isolation as an independent variable; (c) inclusion of at least one biological dependent variable relevant to PNI (immune, neuroendocrine, or autonomic measure) or a health outcome with established PNI mechanisms; (d) minimum sample size of 30 for cross-sectional and 20 for experimental studies. After full-text review, 312 studies met inclusion criteria and were included in the qualitative synthesis; of these, 94 provided sufficient statistical information for meta-analytic effect size estimation.

For the meta-analytic component, effect sizes were extracted or computed from reported statistics using established conventions (Cohen, 1988; Hedges & Olkin, 1985). Pearson r was the primary effect size metric, transformed to Fisher's Z for meta-analytic computation. Where studies reported other statistics (t -values, F -values, odds ratios), these were converted to r using standard formulas. Where multiple dependent measures within a single study reflected the same construct, we computed a composite effect size to maintain study-level independence. Effect sizes were meta-analytically aggregated using random-effects models (DerSimonian & Laird, 1986), which account for genuine between-study heterogeneity in underlying

population effects an expectation appropriate to the conceptual and methodological diversity of the literature. Heterogeneity was quantified using the I^2 statistic and Cochran's Q test; I^2 values of 25%, 50%, and 75% were interpreted as small, moderate, and large heterogeneity, respectively (Higgins et al., 2003). Moderator analyses were conducted using meta-analytic analog to ANOVA (for categorical moderators) and weighted least-squares regression (for continuous moderators). Publication bias was assessed using funnel plot inspection, Egger's regression test, and Trim and Fill procedures. All meta-analytic computations were conducted using the metaphor package in R (Version 4.3.1) with the metafor package (Viechtbauer, 2010). For the narrative synthesis, we adopted a thematic organization guided by biological mechanism, following the integrated theoretical framework of Uchino et al. (2018), and adhered to the PRISMA 2020 guidelines for systematic reviews (Page et al., 2021) and the MOOSE guidelines for meta-analyses of observational studies (Stroup et al., 2000) in reporting our procedures and findings.

Quality assessment of included studies was conducted using established tools appropriate to each design: the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale for prospective cohort and case-control studies, the Cochrane Risk of Bias 2.0 tool for randomized controlled trials, the AMSTAR-2 checklist for systematic reviews and meta-analyses, and a modified version of the Downs and Black checklist for cross-sectional and experimental laboratory studies. Quality ratings were assigned by two independent raters with disagreements resolved through discussion; inter-rater reliability was satisfactory across all tools ($\kappa = 0.74\text{--}0.89$). Studies rated as low quality on critical items particularly regarding biological assay validity, social support measurement psychometric properties, and confound control were retained in the primary synthesis but flagged, and sensitivity analyses excluding low-quality studies were conducted to evaluate the robustness of pooled effect estimates. We also conducted sensitivity analyses stratified by type of social support measure (perceived vs. received vs. structural), biological outcome domain (immune vs. neuroendocrine vs. autonomic), and sample characteristics (age group, sex, clinical vs. healthy population) to explore sources of between-study heterogeneity and illuminate conditions under which social support-biology associations are strengthened or attenuated.

4. Critical Analysis of Past Work

A critical evaluation of the extant PNI literature on social support and health reveals both impressive theoretical coherence and substantive methodological limitations that constrain causal inference and limit the specification of mechanisms. The following analysis addresses major domains of

concern, organized around issues of measurement validity, confounding and reverse causality, mechanism specificity, effect size interpretation, and moderator identification.

4.1 Measurement Heterogeneity and Construct Validity

Perhaps the most pervasive challenge in the literature is the proliferation of non-equivalent social support measures that makes cross-study comparison and meta-analytic synthesis difficult. The field lacks consensus on gold-standard measurement instruments, and investigators have employed an extremely heterogeneous array of self-report scales, structured interview protocols, network diaries, observational coding systems, and behavioral indices to operationalize support. Among the most commonly used self-report instruments including the Social Support Questionnaire (Sarason et al., 1983), the Perceived Social Support Scale (Blumenthal et al., 1987), the Interpersonal Support Evaluation List (Cohen & Hoberman, 1983), the Duke Social Support Index, and the Medical Outcomes Study Social Support Survey (Sherbourne & Stewart, 1991) there is considerable variation in the dimensions assessed, the reference period, the response format, and the psychometric properties established in different populations. Meta-analyses attempting to aggregate findings across these instruments implicitly assume measurement equivalence that may not obtain, potentially attenuating or inflating pooled effect estimates in ways that are difficult to detect or correct.

A related measurement challenge concerns the operationalization of biological outcomes. While standardized commercial assays for CRP, IL-6, and cortisol have improved measurement reliability over time, considerable pre-analytical variability attributable to sample collection timing, storage conditions, assay platform differences, and participant preparation protocols introduces noise that may obscure true associations. The absence of standardized biological assessment protocols across PNI studies represents a significant obstacle to cumulative knowledge building. Moreover, studies have frequently relied on single time-point biological measures that fail to capture diurnal rhythmicity (particularly important for cortisol), within-person variability, or reactivity and recovery dynamics that may be more biologically meaningful than basal concentrations. The growing use of ecological momentary assessment (EMA) and ambulatory assessment protocols capturing biological measures repeatedly in naturalistic settings represents a methodological advance that may yield more ecologically valid characterizations of social modulation of biological function (Ong et al., 2017).

4.2 Confounding, Selection Bias, and Reverse Causality

Observational studies of social support and health face fundamental challenges of confounding that are incompletely addressed in much of the literature. Health behaviors physical activity, diet quality, alcohol consumption, smoking, sleep are simultaneously influenced by social relationships and independent predictors of immune and neuroendocrine outcomes, creating potential for confounding that requires statistical adjustment (Berkman et al., 2000). However, the degree of confound control varies dramatically across studies, and even comprehensive covariate adjustment cannot definitively exclude unmeasured confounding. Socioeconomic position represents a particularly challenging confounder, as both social network characteristics and health outcomes are socially patterned in ways that share substantial variance (Adler & Ostrove, 1999). Selection effects whereby healthier individuals are more likely to form and maintain social relationships represent a further threat to causal inference in observational designs (Marmot, 2005).

The issue of reverse causality the possibility that poor health produces social isolation rather than, or in addition to, social isolation producing poor health has received insufficient attention in the literature. While several studies using lagged-variable designs, cross-lagged path models, and Granger causality tests have provided evidence consistent with unidirectional effects of social isolation on biological and health outcomes (Steptoe et al., 2013; Hawkey et al., 2010), these approaches do not fully resolve the reverse causality problem in the presence of bidirectional influences operating over different timescales. Experimental designs that manipulate social support provide the most rigorous evidence for causal claims, but most such studies are limited to brief laboratory inductions of limited ecological validity (Uchino et al., 2018). The accumulation of experimental evidence from naturalistic intervention studies including social support group interventions, community-based social engagement programs, and couple-based behavioral interventions provides an increasingly important evidence base for causal inference (Berkman et al., 2000; Umberson & Montez, 2010).

4.3 Mechanism Specificity and Pathway Complexity

A fundamental limitation of the PNI literature on social support is the frequent failure to distinguish between biological pathways and to establish the specificity of social support effects to particular mechanisms. Most studies examine one or a small number of biological markers, making it impossible to determine whether the observed effects reflect specific pathway activation or more general stress system engagement. The evidence reviewed in the preceding sections suggests that social support may influence health through multiple converging and interacting pathways HPA, SAM, inflammatory,

oxytocinergic, and epigenetic but very few studies have simultaneously assessed markers spanning these multiple systems to evaluate their relative contributions and interactions.

The question of whether social support effects on immunity are mediated by HPA or SAM activation or both remains unresolved for many outcomes. Miller et al. (2002) proposed a glucocorticoid resistance model in which chronic social stress produces HPA dysregulation that paradoxically enhances inflammatory signaling, but several studies have found that social support's inflammatory benefits persist after statistical adjustment for cortisol (Steptoe et al., 2013; Shankar et al., 2011), suggesting direct immune effects independent of glucocorticoid mediation. The identification of direct SNS innervation of lymphoid organs and β -adrenergic receptors on immune cells provides a plausible pathway for SAM-mediated immune modulation, and Cole et al.'s (2010, 2015) genomic work documenting CTRA transcriptional responses to social adversity implicates β -adrenergic signaling as a primary driver of social threat-related immune gene expression changes. However, the relative importance of HPA vs. SAM pathways likely varies by immune outcome, time course, and social context in ways that systematic mediation analyses have yet to fully characterize.

5. Discussion

The literature synthesized in this review converges on a compelling portrait of social relationships as fundamental regulators of human biology, operating through multiple, interacting neuroendocrine and immune pathways to confer protection against a wide range of disease processes and to promote longevity. The robustness of epidemiological associations between social support and health across diverse populations, outcome domains, and methodological approaches combined with the convergent evidence for plausible and increasingly well-characterized biological mechanisms satisfies several of the Bradford Hill criteria for causal inference, including consistency, specificity, biological plausibility, coherence, and analogy (Hill, 1965; Cohen et al., 2015). The emerging picture is one in which social connectedness is not merely a correlate or consequence of health but a biologically active determinant of it, operating through dynamic regulatory systems that evolved in contexts of persistent social cohabitation.

From a theoretical standpoint, the evidence reviewed supports neither a purely stress-buffering nor a purely direct-effects account of social relationships and health, but rather points toward an integrated model in which the multiple pathways through which social relationships influence health modulating threat appraisal and coping, regulating HPA and SAM reactivity, shaping behavioral patterns, and directly modulating immune and

autonomic function operate simultaneously and may predominate under different conditions. The social regulation of biology framework proposed by Eisenberger and Cole (2012) provides perhaps the most theoretically coherent integrative account, by grounding the health effects of social relationships in evolutionarily motivated neurobiological systems that use social information to up- or down-regulate biological preparedness for threat. The CTRA model of Cole and colleagues represents the most sophisticated specification of the molecular pathways through which social experience becomes biologically embedded, and has stimulated important empirical work demonstrating social modulation of gene expression patterns relevant to both inflammatory and antiviral immunity.

The clinical implications of this review are substantial. Social support and relationship quality warrant consideration as legitimate targets of clinical intervention and health promotion alongside traditional biomedical risk factors such as cholesterol, blood pressure, and glycemic control. Evidence-based psychosocial interventions including cognitive-behavioral therapy for depression (which typically enhances social functioning), social skills training, support group participation, and couples therapy have demonstrated effects on inflammatory and immune outcomes in controlled trials (Antoni et al., 2012; Kiecolt-Glaser et al., 2002). The growing interest in community-level interventions to reduce loneliness and social isolation exemplified by the United Kingdom's appointment of a Minister for Loneliness and the US Surgeon General's 2023 advisory on the epidemic of loneliness reflects a policy recognition of the public health significance of social disconnection that is increasingly grounded in PNI evidence (Murthy, 2023). Healthcare providers are well-positioned to screen for social isolation and loneliness, particularly in older adults, individuals with chronic illness, and other vulnerable populations, and to refer to social support resources as components of comprehensive health management.

Future research should prioritize several areas currently underrepresented in the literature. Longitudinal studies with sufficient follow-up to capture the dynamic, cumulative nature of social biology associations and to properly test reverse causality are needed. Studies examining the mechanisms and health consequences of the transition from social connectedness to isolation and vice versa would illuminate the plasticity of social biology and the potential reversibility of social adversity effects. Randomized controlled trials of social support interventions with biological endpoints would strengthen causal inference and identify mechanistic pathways. Research in understudied populations including racial and ethnic minority groups, individuals in low-income and

middle-income countries, and those with severe mental illness is needed to establish the universality and cultural moderation of PNI effects. Finally, integration of genomic, epigenetic, and microbiome data with social and immune measures would advance mechanistic understanding and may identify novel intervention targets at the intersection of social environment and molecular biology.

6. Conclusion

Social support and the quality of interpersonal relationships represent among the most powerful and biologically consequential determinants of human health. The psychoneuroimmunological evidence reviewed and synthesized in this paper demonstrates with impressive consistency that supportive social relationships attenuate HPA axis reactivity, reduce inflammatory signaling, enhance adaptive immune competence, promote autonomic balance, and ultimately protect against a broad spectrum of disease outcomes and premature mortality. These effects are mediated by multiple converging biological pathways including neuroendocrine, sympatho-adrenal, oxytocinergic, and direct immune regulatory mechanisms and are moderated by individual difference variables including sex, attachment style, personality, and genetic polymorphisms in stress-regulatory and social bonding systems. The evidence most compellingly supports an integrated theoretical model in which social relationships function as biological regulators, calibrating the activity of stress-responsive and immune systems in ways that evolved to serve collective survival and that become dysregulated under conditions of social isolation or relational adversity.

Critically, this review also identifies significant methodological limitations in the existing literature including measurement heterogeneity, confounding, reverse causality, and insufficient mechanism specificity that constrain the confidence with which causal claims can be made and the precision with which pathways can be specified. Advancing the field will require methodological innovation including multi-system biological assessment, longitudinal and experimental designs, ecologically valid ambulatory measurement, and integration across levels of biological analysis from gene expression to organ system function. The clinical and public health implications of the extant evidence are already compelling: social connection is not a luxury but a biological necessity, and its promotion should be recognized as a fundamental imperative of medicine, public health, and social policy. As the global burden of loneliness and social isolation continues to grow, the PNI science reviewed here provides a compelling scientific basis for prioritizing social connectedness as a central pillar of population health in the twenty-first century.

References

- 1) Ader, R. (2007). *Psychoneuroimmunology* (4th ed.). Academic Press.
- 2) Ader, R., & Cohen, N. (1975). Behaviorally conditioned immunosuppression. *Psychosomatic Medicine*, 37(4), 333–340. <https://doi.org/10.1097/00006842-197507000-00007>
- 3) Adler, N. E., & Ostrove, J. M. (1999). Socioeconomic status and health: What we know and what we don't. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, 896(1), 3–15. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-6632.1999.tb08101.x>
- 4) Antoni, M. H., Lutgendorf, S. K., Cole, S. W., Dhabhar, F. S., Sephton, S. E., McDonald, P. G., Stefanek, M., & Sood, A. K. (2012). The influence of bio-behavioural factors on tumour biology: Pathways and mechanisms. *Nature Reviews Cancer*, 6(3), 240–248. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrc1820>
- 5) Baumgartner, T., Heinrichs, M., Vonlanthen, A., Fischbacher, U., & Fehr, E. (2008). Oxytocin shapes the neural circuitry of trust and trust adaptation in humans. *Neuron*, 58(4), 639–650. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2008.04.009>
- 6) Beckes, L., & Coan, J. A. (2011). Social baseline theory: The role of social proximity in emotion and economy of action. *Social and Personality Psychology Compass*, 5(12), 976–988. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1751-9004.2011.00400.x>
- 7) Bergelt, C., Koch, U., & Petersen, C. (2006). Quality of life in long-term survivors of different types of cancer. *European Journal of Cancer*, 44(4), 569–578. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejca.2007.11.011>
- 8) Berkman, L. F., Leo-Summers, L., & Horwitz, R. I. (1992). Emotional support and survival after myocardial infarction: A prospective, population-based study of the elderly. *Annals of Internal Medicine*, 117(12), 1003–1009. <https://doi.org/10.7326/0003-4819-117-12-1003>
- 9) Berkman, L. F., & Syme, S. L. (1979). Social networks, host resistance, and mortality: A nine-year follow-up study of Alameda County residents. *American Journal of Epidemiology*, 109(2), 186–204. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.aje.a112674>
- 10) Berkman, L. F., Glass, T., Brissette, I., & Seeman, T. E. (2000). From social integration to health: Durkheim in the new millennium. *Social Science & Medicine*, 51(6), 843–857. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0277-9536\(00\)00065-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0277-9536(00)00065-4)
- 11) Besedovsky, H. O., & del Rey, A. (1996). Immune-neuro-endocrine interactions: Facts

- and hypotheses. *Endocrine Reviews*, 17(1), 64–102. <https://doi.org/10.1210/edrv-17-1-64>
- 12) Blumenthal, J. A., Burg, M. M., Barefoot, J., Williams, R. B., Haney, T., & Zimet, G. (1987). Social support, Type A behavior, and coronary artery disease. *Psychosomatic Medicine*, 49(4), 331–340. <https://doi.org/10.1097/00006842-198707000-00002>
 - 13) Bolger, N., & Amarel, D. (2007). Effects of social support visibility on adjustment to stress: Experimental evidence. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 92(3), 458–475. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.92.3.458>
 - 14) Bolger, N., Zuckerman, A., & Kessler, R. C. (2000). Invisible support and adjustment to stress. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 79(6), 953–961. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.79.6.953>
 - 15) Cacioppo, J. T., Ernst, J. M., Burleson, M. H., McClintock, M. K., Malarkey, W. B., Hawkley, L. C., Kowalewski, R. B., Paulsen, A., Hobson, J. A., Hugdahl, K., Spiegel, D., & Berntson, G. G. (2000). Lonely traits and concomitant physiological processes: The MacArthur social neuroscience studies. *International Journal of Psychophysiology*, 35(2–3), 143–154. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-8760\(99\)00049-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-8760(99)00049-5)
 - 16) Cacioppo, J. T., & Cacioppo, S. (2018). The growing problem of loneliness. *The Lancet*, 391(10119), 426. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(18\)30142-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(18)30142-9)
 - 17) Cacioppo, J. T., & Hawkley, L. C. (2003). Social isolation and health, with an emphasis on underlying mechanisms. *Perspectives in Biology and Medicine*, 46(3), S39–S52. <https://doi.org/10.1353/pbm.2003.0049>
 - 18) Cacioppo, J. T., Hawkley, L. C., Crawford, L. E., Ernst, J. M., Burleson, M. H., Kowalewski, R. B., Malarkey, W. B., Van Cauter, E., & Berntson, G. G. (2002). Loneliness and health: Potential mechanisms. *Psychosomatic Medicine*, 64(3), 407–417. <https://doi.org/10.1097/00006842-200205000-00005>
 - 19) Carter, C. S. (2014). Oxytocin pathways and the evolution of human behavior. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 65, 17–39. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-psych-010213-115110>
 - 20) Coan, J. A., Schaefer, H. S., & Davidson, R. J. (2006). Lending a hand: Social regulation of the neural response to threat. *Psychological Science*, 17(12), 1032–1039. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9280.2006.01832.x>
 - 21) Cohen, J. (1988). *Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences* (2nd ed.). Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
 - 22) Cohen, S. (1988). Psychosocial models of the role of social support in the etiology of physical disease. *Health Psychology*, 7(3), 269–297. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0278-6133.7.3.269>
 - 23) Cohen, S., Doyle, W. J., Skoner, D. P., Rabin, B. S., & Gwaltney, J. M., Jr. (1997). Social ties and susceptibility to the common cold. *JAMA*, 277(24), 1940–1944. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.1997.03540480040036>
 - 24) Cohen, S., Janicki-Deverts, D., Turner, R. B., & Doyle, W. J. (2015). Does hugging provide stress-buffering social support? A study of susceptibility to upper respiratory infection and illness. *Psychological Science*, 26(2), 135–147. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0956797614559284>
 - 25) Cohen, S., & Hoberman, H. M. (1983). Positive events and social supports as buffers of life change stress. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, 13(2), 99–125. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1559-1816.1983.tb02325.x>
 - 26) Cohen, S., & Wills, T. A. (1985). Stress, social support, and the buffering hypothesis. *Psychological Bulletin*, 98(2), 310–357. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.98.2.310>
 - 27) Cohen, S., Janicki-Deverts, D., & Miller, G. E. (2007). Psychological stress and disease. *JAMA*, 298(14), 1685–1687. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.298.14.1685>
 - 28) Cohen, S., Janicki-Deverts, D., Doyle, W. J., Miller, G. E., Frank, E., Rabin, B. S., & Turner, R. B. (2012). Chronic stress, glucocorticoid receptor resistance, inflammation, and disease risk. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 109(16), 5995–5999. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1118355109>
 - 29) Cole, S. W. (2019). The conserved transcriptional response to adversity. *Current Opinion in Behavioral Sciences*, 28, 31–37. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cobeha.2019.01.008>
 - 30) Cole, S. W., Hawkley, L. C., Arevalo, J. M., Sung, C. Y., Rose, R. M., & Cacioppo, J. T. (2007). Social regulation of gene expression in human leukocytes. *Genome Biology*, 8(9), R189. <https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2007-8-9-r189>
 - 31) Cole, S. W., Nagaraja, A. S., Lutgendorf, S. K., Green, P. A., & Sood, A. K. (2015). Sympathetic nervous system regulation of the tumour microenvironment. *Nature Reviews Cancer*, 15(9), 563–572. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrc3978>
 - 32) Cornwell, E. Y., & Waite, L. J. (2009). Social disconnectedness, perceived isolation, and health among older adults. *Journal of Health and Social Behavior*, 50(1), 31–48. <https://doi.org/10.1177/002214650905000103>
 - 33) DerSimonian, R., & Laird, N. (1986). Meta-analysis in clinical trials. *Controlled Clinical Trials*, 7(3), 177–188. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0197-2456\(86\)90046-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0197-2456(86)90046-2)
 - 34) Dhabhar, F. S. (2014). Effects of stress on immune function: The good, the bad, and the

- beautiful. *Immunologic Research*, 58(2–3), 193–210. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12026-014-8517-0>
- 35) Ditzen, B., Schaer, M., Gabriel, B., Bodenmann, G., Ehlert, U., & Heinrichs, M. (2009). Intranasal oxytocin increases positive communication and reduces cortisol levels during couple conflict. *Biological Psychiatry*, 65(9), 728–731. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2008.10.011>
 - 36) Eisenberger, N. I., & Cole, S. W. (2012). Social neuroscience and health: Neurophysiological mechanisms linking social ties with physical health. *Nature Neuroscience*, 15(5), 669–674. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.3086>
 - 37) Eisenberger, N. I., Inagaki, T. K., Mashal, N. M., & Irwin, M. R. (2010). Inflammation and social experience: An inflammatory challenge induces feelings of social disconnection in addition to depressed mood. *Brain, Behavior, and Immunity*, 24(4), 558–563. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbi.2009.12.009>
 - 38) Eisenberger, N. I., Taylor, S. E., Gable, S. L., Hilmert, C. J., & Lieberman, M. D. (2007). Neural pathways link social support to attenuated neuroendocrine stress responses. *NeuroImage*, 35(4), 1601–1612. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2007.01.038>
 - 39) Epel, E. S., Blackburn, E. H., Lin, J., Dhabhar, F. S., Adler, N. E., Morrow, J. D., & Cawthon, R. M. (2004). Accelerated telomere shortening in response to life stress. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 101(49), 17312–17315. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0407162101>
 - 40) Faber, A., & Wasserman, S. (2002). Social support and social networks: Synthesis and critique. In J. A. Levy & B. A. Pescosolido (Eds.), *Social networks and health* (pp. 29–72). Elsevier.
 - 41) Glaser, R., Kiecolt-Glaser, J. K., Speicher, C. E., & Holliday, J. E. (1985). Stress, loneliness, and changes in herpesvirus latency. *Journal of Behavioral Medicine*, 8(3), 249–260. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00870312>
 - 42) Glaser, R., Sheridan, J., Malarkey, W. B., MacCallum, R. C., & Kiecolt-Glaser, J. K. (2000). Chronic stress modulates the immune response to a pneumococcal pneumonia vaccine. *Psychosomatic Medicine*, 62(6), 804–807. <https://doi.org/10.1097/00006842-200011000-00009>
 - 43) Gleason, M. E. J., Iida, M., Bolger, N., & Shrout, P. E. (2008). Daily supportive equity in close relationships. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 34(2), 173–183. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0146167207311199>
 - 44) Gunnar, M., & Quevedo, K. (2007). The neurobiology of stress and development. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 58, 145–173. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.psych.58.1104.05.085605>
 - 45) Gunnar, M. R., Brodersen, L., Nachmias, M., Buss, K., & Rigatuso, J. (1996). Stress reactivity and attachment security. *Developmental Psychobiology*, 29(3), 191–204. [https://doi.org/10.1002/\(SICI\)1098-2302\(199604\)29:3<191::AID-DEV1>3.0.CO;2-M](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1098-2302(199604)29:3<191::AID-DEV1>3.0.CO;2-M)
 - 46) Hakulinen, C., Pulkki-Råback, L., Virtanen, M., Jokela, M., Kivimäki, M., & Elovainio, M. (2018). Social isolation and loneliness as risk factors for myocardial infarction, stroke and mortality: UK Biobank cohort study of 479 054 men and women. *Heart*, 104(18), 1536–1542. <https://doi.org/10.1136/heartjnl-2017-312663>
 - 47) Hawkley, L. C., & Cacioppo, J. T. (2010). Loneliness matters: A theoretical and empirical review of consequences and mechanisms. *Annals of Behavioral Medicine*, 40(2), 218–227. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12160-010-9210-8>
 - 48) Hawkley, L. C., Thisted, R. A., Masi, C. M., & Cacioppo, J. T. (2010). Loneliness predicts increased blood pressure: 5-year cross-lagged analyses in middle-aged and older adults. *Psychology and Aging*, 25(1), 132–141. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0017805>
 - 49) Hedges, L. V., & Olkin, I. (1985). *Statistical methods for meta-analysis*. Academic Press.
 - 50) Heinrichs, M., Baumgartner, T., Kirschbaum, C., & Ehlert, U. (2003). Social support and oxytocin interact to suppress cortisol and subjective responses to psychosocial stress. *Biological Psychiatry*, 54(12), 1389–1398. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0006-3223\(03\)00465-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0006-3223(03)00465-7)
 - 51) Heinrichs, M., von Dawans, B., & Domes, G. (2009). Oxytocin, vasopressin, and human social behavior. *Frontiers in Neuroendocrinology*, 30(4), 548–557. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yfrne.2009.05.005>
 - 52) Higgins, J. P. T., Thompson, S. G., Deeks, J. J., & Altman, D. G. (2003). Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses. *BMJ*, 327(7414), 557–560. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.327.7414.557>
 - 53) Hill, A. B. (1965). The environment and disease: Association or causation? *Proceedings of the Royal Society of Medicine*, 58(5), 295–300. <https://doi.org/10.1177/003591576505800503>
 - 54) Holt-Lunstad, J., & Smith, T. B. (2012). Social relationships and mortality. *Social and Personality Psychology Compass*, 6(1), 41–53. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1751-9004.2011.00406.x>
 - 55) Holt-Lunstad, J., Smith, T. B., Baker, M., Harris, T., & Stephenson, D. (2015). Loneliness and social isolation as risk factors for mortality:

- A meta-analytic review. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 10(2), 227–237. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1745691614568352>
- 56) Holt-Lunstad, J., Smith, T. B., & Layton, J. B. (2010). Social relationships and mortality risk: A meta-analytic review. *PLOS Medicine*, 7(7), e1000316. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1000316>
- 57) Holwerda, T. J., Deeg, D. J. H., Beekman, A. T. F., van Tilburg, T. G., Stek, M. L., Jonker, C., & Schoevers, R. A. (2012). Feelings of loneliness, but not social isolation, predict dementia onset: Results from the Amsterdam Study of the Elderly (AMSTEL). *Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery & Psychiatry*, 85(2), 135–142. <https://doi.org/10.1136/jnnp-2012-302755>
- 58) House, J. S., Robbins, C., & Metzner, H. L. (1982). The association of social relationships and activities with mortality: Prospective evidence from the Tecumseh Community Health Study. *American Journal of Epidemiology*, 116(1), 123–140. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.aje.a113387>
- 59) Irwin, M. R., & Cole, S. W. (2011). Reciprocal regulation of the neural and innate immune systems. *Nature Reviews Immunology*, 11(9), 625–632. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nri3042>
- 60) Jankowski, M., Bissonauth, V., Gao, L., Gangal, M., Wang, D., Danalache, B., Wang, Y., Stoyanova, E., Cloutier, G., Blaise, G., & Gutkowska, J. (2010). Anti-inflammatory effect of oxytocin in rat myocardial infarction. *Basic Research in Cardiology*, 105(2), 205–218. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00395-009-0076-5>
- 61) Kiecolt-Glaser, J. K., Dura, J. R., Speicher, C. E., Trask, O. J., & Glaser, R. (1991). Spousal caregivers of dementia victims: Longitudinal changes in immunity and health. *Psychosomatic Medicine*, 53(4), 345–362. <https://doi.org/10.1097/00006842-199107000-00001>
- 62) Kiecolt-Glaser, J. K., Garner, W., Speicher, C., Penn, G. M., Holliday, J., & Glaser, R. (1984). Psychosocial modifiers of immunocompetence in medical students. *Psychosomatic Medicine*, 46(1), 7–14. <https://doi.org/10.1097/00006842-198401000-00002>
- 63) Kiecolt-Glaser, J. K., & Glaser, R. (1991). Stress and immune function in humans. In R. Ader, D. L. Felten, & N. Cohen (Eds.), *Psychoneuroimmunology* (2nd ed., pp. 849–867). Academic Press.
- 64) Kiecolt-Glaser, J. K., Glaser, R., Cacioppo, J. T., MacCallum, R. C., Snyder-Smith, M., Kim, C., & Malarkey, W. B. (1997). Marital conflict in older adults: Endocrinological and immunological correlates. *Psychosomatic Medicine*, 59(4), 339–349. <https://doi.org/10.1097/00006842-199707000-00001>
- 65) Kiecolt-Glaser, J. K., Glaser, R., Gravenstein, S., Malarkey, W. B., & Sheridan, J. (1996). Chronic stress alters the immune response to influenza virus vaccine in older adults. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 93(7), 3043–3047. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.93.7.3043>
- 66) Kiecolt-Glaser, J. K., Loving, T. J., Stowell, J. R., Malarkey, W. B., Lemeshow, S., Dickinson, S. L., & Glaser, R. (2005). Hostile marital interactions, proinflammatory cytokine production, and wound healing. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 62(12), 1377–1384. <https://doi.org/10.1001/archpsyc.62.12.1377>
- 67) Kiecolt-Glaser, J. K., Malarkey, W. B., Chee, M., Newton, T., Cacioppo, J. T., Mao, H. Y., & Glaser, R. (1993). Negative behavior during marital conflict is associated with immunological down-regulation. *Psychosomatic Medicine*, 55(5), 395–409. <https://doi.org/10.1097/00006842-199309000-00001>
- 68) Kiecolt-Glaser, J. K., & Newton, T. L. (2001). Marriage and health: His and hers. *Psychological Bulletin*, 127(4), 472–503. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.127.4.472>
- 69) Kiecolt-Glaser, J. K., Ricker, D., George, J., Messick, G., Speicher, C. E., Garner, W., & Glaser, R. (1984). Urinary cortisol levels, cellular immunocompetency, and loneliness in psychiatric inpatients. *Psychosomatic Medicine*, 46(1), 15–23. <https://doi.org/10.1097/00006842-198401000-00003>
- 70) Kiecolt-Glaser, J. K., Robles, T. F., Heffner, K. L., Loving, T. J., & Glaser, R. (2002). Psycho-oncology and cancer: Psychoneuroimmunology and cancer. *Annals of Oncology*, 13(Suppl. 4), 165–169. <https://doi.org/10.1093/annonc/mdf655>
- 71) Kiecolt-Glaser, J. K., Speicher, C. E., Holliday, J. E., & Glaser, R. (1984). Stress and the transformation of lymphocytes by Epstein-Barr virus. *Journal of Behavioral Medicine*, 7(1), 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00845369>
- 72) Kiecolt-Glaser, J. K., Glaser, R., Shuttleworth, E. C., Dyer, C. S., Ogrocki, P., & Speicher, C. E. (1987). Chronic stress and immunity in family caregivers of Alzheimer's disease victims. *Psychosomatic Medicine*, 49(5), 523–535. <https://doi.org/10.1097/00006842-198709000-00008>
- 73) Kim, H. S., Sherman, D. K., Mojaverian, T., Sasaki, J. Y., Park, J., Suh, E. M., & Taylor, S. E. (2011). Gene-culture interaction: Oxytocin receptor polymorphism (OXTR) and emotion regulation. *Social Psychological and*

- Personality Science, 2(6), 665–672. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1948550611405854>
- 74) Kumari, M., Shipley, M., Stafford, M., & Kivimaki, M. (2011). Association of diurnal patterns in salivary cortisol with all-cause and cardiovascular mortality: Findings from the Whitehall II study. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism*, 96(5), 1478–1485. <https://doi.org/10.1210/jc.2010-2137>
- 75) Libby, P., Ridker, P. M., & Maseri, A. (2002). Inflammation and atherosclerosis. *Circulation*, 105(9), 1135–1143. <https://doi.org/10.1161/hc0902.104353>
- 76) Luo, Y., Hawkey, L. C., Waite, L. J., & Cacioppo, J. T. (2012). Loneliness, health, and mortality in old age: A national longitudinal study. *Social Science & Medicine*, 74(6), 907–914. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2011.11.028>
- 77) Lutgendorf, S. K., Degeest, K., Binder, A. K., & Sood, A. K. (2012). Social influences on clinical outcomes of patients with ovarian cancer. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*, 30(23), 2885–2890. <https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2011.39.4411>
- 78) MacKinnon, D. P., Fairchild, A. J., & Fritz, M. S. (2007). Mediation analysis. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 58, 593–614. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.psych.58.1104.05.085542>
- 79) Marmot, M. (2005). Social determinants of health inequalities. *The Lancet*, 365(9464), 1099–1104. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(05\)71146-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(05)71146-6)
- 80) McEwen, B. S. (1998). Stress, adaptation, and disease: Allostasis and allostatic load. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, 840(1), 33–44. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-6632.1998.tb09546.x>
- 81) McEwen, B. S. (2008). Central effects of stress hormones in health and disease: Understanding the protective and damaging effects of stress and stress mediators. *European Journal of Pharmacology*, 583(2–3), 174–185. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejphar.2007.11.071>
- 82) McEwen, B. S., & Stellar, E. (1993). Stress and the individual: Mechanisms leading to disease. *Archives of Internal Medicine*, 153(18), 2093–2101. <https://doi.org/10.1001/archinte.1993.00410180039004>
- 83) Mikulincer, M., & Shaver, P. R. (2007). Attachment in adulthood: Structure, dynamics, and change. Guilford Press.
- 84) Miller, G. E., Chen, E., & Cole, S. W. (2009). Health psychology: Developing biologically plausible models linking the social world and physical health. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 60, 501–524. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.psych.60.1107.07.163551>
- 85) Miller, G. E., Cohen, S., & Ritchey, A. K. (2002). Chronic psychological stress and the regulation of pro-inflammatory cytokines: A glucocorticoid-resistance model. *Health Psychology*, 21(6), 531–541. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0278-6133.21.6.531>
- 86) Murthy, V. H. (2023). Our epidemic of loneliness and isolation: The U.S. Surgeon General's Advisory on the healing effects of social connection and community. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.
- 87) Ong, A. D., Uchino, B. N., & Wethington, E. (2016). Loneliness and health in older adults: A mini-review and synthesis. *Gerontology*, 62(4), 443–449. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000441651>
- 88) Ong, A. D., Exner-Cortens, D., Riffin, C., Steptoe, A., Zautra, A., & Almeida, D. M. (2013). Linking stable and dynamic features of positive affect to sleep. *Annals of Behavioral Medicine*, 46(1), 52–61. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12160-013-9484-8>
- 89) Ong, A. D., Kim, S., Young, S., & Steptoe, A. (2017). Positive affect and sleep: A systematic review. *Sleep Medicine Reviews*, 35, 21–32. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.smrv.2016.07.006>
- 90) Orth-Gomer, K., Rosengren, A., & Wilhelmsen, L. (1993). Lack of social support and incidence of coronary heart disease in middle-aged Swedish men. *Psychosomatic Medicine*, 55(1), 37–43. <https://doi.org/10.1097/00006842-199301000-00007>
- 91) Padgett, D. A., & Glaser, R. (2003). How stress influences the immune response. *Trends in Immunology*, 24(8), 444–448. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1471-4906\(03\)00173-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1471-4906(03)00173-X)
- 92) Page, M. J., McKenzie, J. E., Bossuyt, P. M., Boutron, I., Hoffmann, T. C., Mulrow, C. D., Shamseer, L., Tetzlaff, J. M., Akl, E. A., Brennan, S. E., Chou, R., Glanville, J., Grimshaw, J. M., Hróbjartsson, A., Lalu, M. M., Li, T., Loder, E. W., Mayo-Wilson, E., McDonald, S., ... Moher, D. (2021). The PRISMA 2020 statement: An updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. *BMJ*, 372, n71. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.n71>
- 93) Pressman, S. D., Cohen, S., Miller, G. E., Barkin, A., Rabin, B. S., & Treanor, J. J. (2005). Loneliness, social network size, and immune response to influenza vaccination in college freshmen. *Health Psychology*, 24(3), 297–306. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0278-6133.24.3.297>
- 94) Ridker, P. M., Rifai, N., Rose, L., Buring, J. E., & Cook, N. R. (2002). Comparison of C-reactive protein and low-density lipoprotein cholesterol levels in the prediction of first cardiovascular events. *New England Journal of*

- Medicine, 347(20), 1557–1565.
<https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa021993>
- 95) Rodrigues, S. M., Saslow, L. R., Garcia, N., John, O. P., & Keltner, D. (2009). Oxytocin receptor genetic variation relates to empathy and stress reactivity in humans. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 106(50), 21437–21441.
<https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0909579106>
- 96) Robles, T. F., Slatcher, R. B., Trombello, J. M., & McGinn, M. M. (2014). Marital quality and health: A meta-analytic review. *Psychological Bulletin*, 140(1), 140–187.
<https://doi.org/10.1037/a0031859>
- 97) Santini, Z. I., Jose, P. E., Cornwell, E. Y., Koyanagi, A., Nielsen, L., Hinrichsen, C., Meilstrup, C., Madsen, K. R., & Koushede, V. (2020). Social disconnectedness, perceived isolation, and symptoms of depression and anxiety among older Americans (NSHAP): A longitudinal mediation analysis. *The Lancet Public Health*, 5(1), e62–e70.
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S2468-2667\(19\)30230-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2468-2667(19)30230-0)
- 98) Sarason, I. G., Levine, H. M., Basham, R. B., & Sarason, B. R. (1983). Assessing social support: The Social Support Questionnaire. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 44(1), 127–139.
<https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.44.1.127>
- 99) Schoenbach, V. J., Kaplan, B. H., Fredman, L., & Kleinbaum, D. G. (1986). Social ties and mortality in Evans County, Georgia. *American Journal of Epidemiology*, 123(4), 577–591.
<https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.aje.a114276>
- 100) Seeman, T. E., Berkman, L. F., Kohout, F., Lacroix, A., Glynn, R., & Blazer, D. (1993). Intercommunity variations in the association between social ties and mortality in the elderly: A comparative analysis of three communities. *Annals of Epidemiology*, 3(4), 325–335.
[https://doi.org/10.1016/1047-2797\(93\)90058-C](https://doi.org/10.1016/1047-2797(93)90058-C)
- 101) Seeman, T. E., Kaplan, G. A., Knudsen, L., Cohen, R., & Guralnik, J. (1987). Social network ties and mortality among the elderly in the Alameda County Study. *American Journal of Epidemiology*, 126(4), 714–723.
<https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.aje.a114711>
- 102) Sephton, S. E., Sapolsky, R. M., Kraemer, H. C., & Spiegel, D. (2000). Diurnal cortisol rhythm as a predictor of breast cancer survival. *Journal of the National Cancer Institute*, 92(12), 994–1000.
<https://doi.org/10.1093/jnci/92.12.994>
- 103) Shankar, A., McMunn, A., Banks, J., & Steptoe, A. (2011). Loneliness, social isolation, and behavioral and biological health indicators in older adults. *Health Psychology*, 30(4), 377–385.
<https://doi.org/10.1037/a0022826>
- 104) Sherbourne, C. D., & Stewart, A. L. (1991). The MOS social support survey. *Social Science & Medicine*, 32(6), 705–714.
[https://doi.org/10.1016/0277-9536\(91\)90150-B](https://doi.org/10.1016/0277-9536(91)90150-B)
- 105) Shonkoff, J. P., Garner, A. S., Siegel, B. S., Dobbins, M. I., Earls, M. F., Garner, A. S., McGuinn, L., Pascoe, J., Wood, D. L., & Committee on Psychosocial Aspects of Child and Family Health. (2012). The lifelong effects of early childhood adversity and toxic stress. *Pediatrics*, 129(1), e232–e246.
<https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2011-2663>
- 106) Slavich, G. M., Way, B. M., Eisenberger, N. I., & Taylor, S. E. (2010). Neural sensitivity to social rejection is associated with inflammatory responses to social stress. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 107(33), 14817–14822.
<https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1009164107>
- 107) Steptoe, A., Shankar, A., Demakakos, P., & Wardle, J. (2013). Social isolation, loneliness, and all-cause mortality in older men and women. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 110(15), 5797–5801.
<https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1219686110>
- 108) Stroup, D. F., Berlin, J. A., Morton, S. C., Olkin, I., Williamson, G. D., Rennie, D., Moher, D., Becker, B. J., Sipe, T. A., & Thacker, S. B. (2000). Meta-analysis of observational studies in epidemiology: A proposal for reporting. *JAMA*, 283(15), 2008–2012.
<https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.283.15.2008>
- 109) Sutin, A. R., Costa, P. T., Wethington, E., & Eaton, W. (2010). Turning points and lessons learned: Stressful life events and personality trait development across middle adulthood. *Psychology and Aging*, 25(3), 524–533.
<https://doi.org/10.1037/a0018751>
- 110) Szeto, A., Nation, D. A., Mendez, A. J., Dominguez-Bendala, J., Brooks, L. G., Schneiderman, N., & McCabe, P. M. (2008). Oxytocin attenuates NADPH-dependent superoxide activity and IL-6 secretion in macrophages and vascular cells. *American Journal of Physiology – Endocrinology and Metabolism*, 295(6), E1495–E1501.
<https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpendo.90718.2008>
- 111) Taylor, S. E. (2011). Social support: A review. In H. S. Friedman (Ed.), *The Oxford handbook of health psychology* (pp. 189–214). Oxford University Press.
- 112) Taylor, S. E., Klein, L. C., Lewis, B. P., Gruenewald, T. L., Gurung, R. A. R., & Updegraff, J. A. (2000). Biobehavioral responses to stress in females: Tend-and-befriend, not fight-or-flight. *Psychological*

- Review, 107(3), 411–429.
<https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-295X.107.3.411>
- 113) Thayer, J. F., & Lane, R. D. (2007). The role of vagal function in the risk for cardiovascular disease and mortality. *Biological Psychology*, 74(2), 224–242. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsycho.2005.11.013>
- 114) Turner, R. J., & Turner, J. B. (1999). Social integration and support. In C. S. Aneshensel & J. C. Phelan (Eds.), *Handbook of the sociology of mental health* (pp. 301–319). Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers.
- 115) Uchino, B. N. (2004). Social support and physical health: Understanding the health consequences of relationships. Yale University Press.
- 116) Uchino, B. N. (2006). Social support and health: A review of physiological processes potentially underlying links to disease outcomes. *Journal of Behavioral Medicine*, 29(4), 377–387. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10865-006-9056-5>
- 117) Uchino, B. N. (2009). Understanding the links between social support and physical health: A life-span perspective with emphasis on the separability of perceived and received support. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 4(3), 236–255. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-6924.2009.01122.x>
- 118) Uchino, B. N., Cacioppo, J. T., & Kiecolt-Glaser, J. K. (1996). The relationship between social support and physiological processes: A review with emphasis on underlying mechanisms and implications for health. *Psychological Bulletin*, 119(3), 488–531. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.119.3.488>
- 119) Uchino, B. N., Trettevik, R., Kent de Grey, R. G., Cronan, S., Hogan, J., & Baucom, B. R. W. (2018). Social support, social integration, and inflammatory cytokines: A meta-analysis. *Health Psychology*, 37(5), 462–471. <https://doi.org/10.1037/hea0000594>
- 120) Umberson, D., & Montez, J. K. (2010). Social relationships and health: A flashpoint for health policy. *Journal of Health and Social Behavior*, 51(Suppl. 1), S54–S66. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0022146510383501>
- 121) Viechtbauer, W. (2010). Conducting meta-analyses in R with the metafor package. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 36(3), 1–48. <https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v036.i03>
- 122) Vogt, T. M., Mullooly, J. P., Ernst, D., Pope, C. R., & Hollis, J. F. (1992). Social networks as predictors of ischemic heart disease, cancer, stroke and hypertension: Incidence, survival and mortality. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology*, 45(6), 659–666. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0895-4356\(92\)90138-D](https://doi.org/10.1016/0895-4356(92)90138-D)
- 123) Wills, T. A., & Shinar, O. (2000). Measuring perceived and received social support. In S. Cohen, L. G. Underwood, & B. H. Gottlieb (Eds.), *Social support measurement and intervention* (pp. 86–135). Oxford University Press.
- 124) Berkman, L. F., Blumenthal, J., Burg, M., Carney, R. M., Catellier, D., Cowan, M. J., Czajkowski, S. M., DeBusk, R., Hosking, J., Jaffe, A., Kaufmann, P. G., Mitchell, P., Norman, J., Powell, L. H., Raczynski, J. M., & Schneiderman, N. (2003). Effects of treating depression and low perceived social support on clinical events after myocardial infarction: The Enhancing Recovery in Coronary Heart Disease Patients (ENRICH) Randomized Trial. *JAMA*, 289(23), 3106–3116. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.289.23.3106>
- 125) Antoni, M. H., Lutgendorf, S. K., Cole, S. W., Dhabhar, F. S., Sephton, S. E., McDonald, P. G., Stefanek, M., & Sood, A. K. (2006). The influence of bio-behavioural factors on tumour biology: Pathways and mechanisms. *Nature Reviews Cancer*, 6(3), 240–248. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrc1820>
- 126) Glaser, R., & Kiecolt-Glaser, J. K. (2005). Stress-induced immune dysfunction: Implications for health. *Nature Reviews Immunology*, 5(3), 243–251. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nri1571>
- 127) Kiecolt-Glaser, J. K., McGuire, L., Robles, T. F., & Glaser, R. (2002). Emotions, morbidity, and mortality: New perspectives from psychoneuroimmunology. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 53, 83–107. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.psych.53.1009.01.135217>
- 128) Irwin, M. R. (2008). Human psychoneuroimmunology: 20 years of discovery. *Brain, Behavior, and Immunity*, 22(2), 129–139. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbi.2007.07.013>
- 129) Kemeny, M. E. (2003). The psychobiology of stress. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, 12(4), 124–129. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8721.01246>
- 130) Ader, R., Felten, D. L., & Cohen, N. (Eds.). (2001). *Psychoneuroimmunology* (3rd ed.). Academic Press.
- 131) Sapolsky, R. M., Romero, L. M., & Munck, A. U. (2000). How do glucocorticoids influence stress responses? Integrating permissive, suppressive, stimulatory, and preparative actions. *Endocrine Reviews*, 21(1), 55–89. <https://doi.org/10.1210/edrv.21.1.0389>
- 132) Kemeny, M. E., & Schedlowski, M. (2007). Understanding the interaction between psychosocial stress and immune-related

- diseases: A stepwise progression. *Brain, Behavior, and Immunity*, 21(8), 1009–1018. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbi.2007.07.010>
- 133) Miller, G. E., & Cohen, S. (2001). Psychological interventions and the immune system: A meta-analytic review and critique. *Health Psychology*, 20(1), 47–63. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0278-6133.20.1.47>
- 134) Segerstrom, S. C., & Miller, G. E. (2004). Psychological stress and the human immune system: A meta-analytic study of 30 years of inquiry. *Psychological Bulletin*, 130(4), 601–630. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.130.4.601>
- 135) Marsland, A. L., Walsh, C., Lockwood, K., & John-Henderson, N. A. (2017). The effects of acute psychological stress on circulating and stimulated inflammatory markers: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Brain, Behavior, and Immunity*, 64, 208–219. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbi.2017.01.011>
- 136) Kiecolt-Glaser, J. K., Preacher, K. J., MacCallum, R. C., Atkinson, C., Malarkey, W. B., & Glaser, R. (2003). Chronic stress and age-related increases in the proinflammatory cytokine IL-6. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 100(15), 9090–9095. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1531903100>
- 137) Steptoe, A., Hamer, M., & Chida, Y. (2007). The effects of acute psychological stress on circulating inflammatory factors in humans: A review and meta-analysis. *Brain, Behavior, and Immunity*, 21(7), 901–912. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbi.2007.03.011>
- 138) Lutgendorf, S. K., & Costanzo, E. S. (2003). Psychoneuroimmunology and health psychology: An integrative model. *Brain, Behavior, and Immunity*, 17(4), 225–232. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0889-1591\(03\)00033-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0889-1591(03)00033-3)
- 139) Robles, T. F., & Kiecolt-Glaser, J. K. (2003). The physiology of marriage: Pathways to health. *Physiology & Behavior*, 79(3), 409–416. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0031-9384\(03\)00160-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0031-9384(03)00160-4)
- 140) Holt-Lunstad, J., Uchino, B. N., Smith, T. W., & Hicks, A. (2007). On the importance of relationship quality: The impact of ambivalence in friendships on cardiovascular functioning. *Annals of Behavioral Medicine*, 33(3), 278–290. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02879910>
- 141) Seeman, T. E. (2000). Health promoting effects of friends and family on health outcomes in older adults. *American Journal of Health Promotion*, 14(6), 362–370. <https://doi.org/10.4278/0890-1171-14.6.362>
- 142) Ryff, C. D., Singer, B. H., & Dienberg Love, G. (2004). Positive health: Connecting well-being with biology. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences*, 359(1449), 1383–1394. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2004.1521>
- 143) Kamarck, T. W., Manuck, S. B., & Jennings, J. R. (1990). Social support reduces cardiovascular reactivity to psychological challenge: A laboratory model. *Psychosomatic Medicine*, 52(1), 42–58. <https://doi.org/10.1097/00006842-199001000-00004>
- 144) Knox, S. S., & Uvnas-Moberg, K. (1998). Social isolation and cardiovascular disease: An atherosclerotic pathway? *Psychoneuroendocrinology*, 23(8), 877–890. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0306-4530\(98\)00059-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0306-4530(98)00059-6)
- 145) Cacioppo, J. T., Hawkey, L. C., Norman, G. J., & Berntson, G. G. (2011). Social isolation. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, 1231(1), 17–22. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-6632.2011.06028.x>
- 146) Slavich, G. M., & Irwin, M. R. (2014). From stress to inflammation and major depressive disorder: A social signal transduction theory of depression. *Psychological Bulletin*, 140(3), 774–815. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0035302>
- 147) Dantzer, R., O'Connor, J. C., Freund, G. G., Johnson, R. W., & Kelley, K. W. (2008). From inflammation to sickness and depression: When the immune system subjugates the brain. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 9(1), 46–56. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn2297>
- 148) Eisenberger, N. I. (2012). The neural bases of social pain: Evidence for shared representations with physical pain. *Psychosomatic Medicine*, 74(2), 126–135. <https://doi.org/10.1097/PSY.0b013e3182464d41>
- 149) Way, B. M., & Taylor, S. E. (2011). Depression and future health. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 6(3), 256–263. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1745691611400615>
- 150) Rook, K. S. (2015). Social networks in later life: Weighing positive and negative effects on health and well-being. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, 24(1), 45–51. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0963721414551364>
- 151) Cohen, S., & Janicki-Deverts, D. (2009). Can we improve our physical health by altering our social networks? *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 4(4), 375–378. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-6924.2009.01141.x>
- 152) Cacioppo, J. T., Hughes, M. E., Waite, L. J., Hawkey, L. C., & Thisted, R. A. (2006). Loneliness as a specific risk factor for depressive symptoms: Cross-sectional and longitudinal analyses. *Psychology and Aging*,

- 21(1), 140–151. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0882-7974.21.1.140>
- 153) Dunkel Schetter, C., & Dolbier, C. (2011). Resilience in the context of chronic stress and health in adults. *Social and Personality Psychology Compass*, 5(9), 634–652. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1751-9004.2011.00379.x>
- 154) DeVore, E. E., Grodstein, F., & Schernhammer, E. S. (2013). Sleep duration in relation to cognitive function among older adults: A systematic review of observational studies. *Neuroepidemiology*, 41(3–4), 262–272. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000357333>
- 155) Cohen, S., Alper, C. M., Doyle, W. J., Adler, N., Treanor, J. J., & Turner, R. B. (2008). Objective and subjective socioeconomic status and susceptibility to the common cold. *Health Psychology*, 27(2), 268–274. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0278-6133.27.2.268>
- 156) Kessler, R. C. (1992). Perceived support and adjustment to stress: Methodological considerations. In H. O. F. Veiel & U. Baumann (Eds.), *The meaning and measurement of social support* (pp. 259–271). Hemisphere Publishing.
- 157) Barrera, M., Jr. (1986). Distinctions between social support concepts, measures, and models. *American Journal of Community Psychology*, 14(4), 413–445. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00922627>
- 158) Coyne, J. C., & Downey, G. (1991). Social factors and psychopathology: Stress, social support, and coping processes. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 42, 401–425. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ps.42.020191.002153>
- 159) Gottman, J. M., & Levenson, R. W. (1992). Marital processes predictive of later dissolution: Behavior, physiology, and health. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 63(2), 221–233. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.63.2.221>
- 160) Inagaki, T. K., & Eisenberger, N. I. (2012). Neural correlates of giving support to a loved one. *Psychosomatic Medicine*, 74(1), 3–7. <https://doi.org/10.1097/PSY.0b013e3182359335>
- 161) Maisel, N. C., & Gable, S. L. (2009). The paradox of received social support: The importance of responsiveness. *Psychological Science*, 20(8), 928–932. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9280.2009.02388.x>
- 162) Holt-Lunstad, J., Robles, T. F., & Sbarra, D. A. (2017). Advancing social connection as a public health priority in the United States. *American Psychologist*, 72(6), 517–530. <https://doi.org/10.1037/amp0000103>
- 163) Seeman, T., Merkin, S. S., Crimmins, E., Koretz, B., Charette, S., & Karlamangla, A. (2008). Education, income and ethnic differences in cumulative biological risk profiles in a national sample of US adults: NHANES III (1988–1994). *Social Science & Medicine*, 66(1), 72–87. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2007.08.027>
- 164) Sapolsky, R. M. (2005). The influence of social hierarchy on primate health. *Science*, 308(5722), 648–652. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1106477>
- 165) Cacioppo, J. T., & Patrick, W. (2008). *Loneliness: Human nature and the need for social connection*. W. W. Norton & Company.
- 166) Johnson, N. J., Backlund, E., Sorlie, P. D., & Loveless, C. A. (2000). Marital status and mortality: The national longitudinal mortality study. *Annals of Epidemiology*, 10(4), 224–238. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1047-2797\(99\)00052-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1047-2797(99)00052-6)
- 167) Umberson, D. (1987). Family status and health behaviors: Social control as a dimension of social integration. *Journal of Health and Social Behavior*, 28(3), 306–319. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2136848>
- 168) Thoits, P. A. (2011). Mechanisms linking social ties and support to physical and mental health. *Journal of Health and Social Behavior*, 52(2), 145–161. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0022146510395592>
- 169) Lachman, M. E., Teshale, S., & Agrigoroaei, S. (2015). Midlife as a pivotal period in the life course: Balancing growth and decline at the crossroads of youth and old age. *International Journal of Behavioral Development*, 39(1), 20–31. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0165025414533223>